

### REMARKS

Favorable reconsideration of this patent application, as presently amended and in light of the following discussion, is respectfully requested.

Claims 10-15, 17-21, 24, and 25 have been previously rejected as being non-enabling under 35 USC 112; Claims 10, 11, 18-21, 24, and 25 have been previously rejected as being unpatentable over Winn in view of Poulsen under 35 USC 103; Claims 12-14 and 17 have been previously rejected as being unpatentable over Winn in view of Poulsen and Teegarden et al. under 35 USC 103; and Claims 15 and 19 have been previously rejected as being unpatentable over Winn in view of Poulsen and Baker et al. Claims 24 and 25 have been cancelled, new Claims 26-29 have been inserted, and consequently, Claims 10-15, 17-21, and 26-29 are now active in this patent application.


In the office action dated August 22, 2006, the

Examiner noted that Claim 17 of the patent application was not properly set forth and that correction was accordingly required. More particularly, Claim 17 was INADVERTENTLY designated as being "previously amended" when it should have been properly designated as --currently amended--.

By means of the present amendment, the status of Claim 17 has now been corrected.

In light of the foregoing, it is respectfully submitted that the claims of this patent application now patentably define over all of the art of record, that this patent application is therefore in condition for allowance, and accordingly, an early and favorable action is now anticipated and awaited.

Respectfully Submitted,  
**SCHWARTZ & WEINRIEB**



---

Steven W. Weinrieb  
Attorney of Record  
Registration No. 26,520  
(703) 415-1250